

Joint 7th SIG43 – FP1005 Workshop on

Fiber Suspension Flow Modelling



On the relative motion between rigid fibers and fluid in turbulent channel flow

C. Marchioli^{1, L. Zhao2, H.I. Andersson2}

1Dept. Elec., Manag. & Mechanical Engineering,

University of Udine

2 Department of Energy & Process Engineering, NTNU



Project supported by COST - European Cooperation in Science and Technology







Refs: Zhao et al. (2014) Phys. Fluids, In press Marchioli et al. (2014) In preparation



Motivation: Why are we looking at slip velocity and spin?



Slip velocity and spin are crucial in:

- 1. Euler-Lagrange simulations:
 - One-way coupling: determine the drag and torque experienced by fibers
 - Two-way coupling: determine reaction force/torque from fibers on fluid
- 2. Two-fluid modeling of particle-laden flows
 - Modeling SGS fiber dynamics in LES flow fields
 - Crossing trajectory effects on time decorrelation tensor of u



U@p: fluid velocity "seen"

up : fiber velocity

 $\Delta \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{u} \mathbf{p}$: slip velocity



Aim of this study: statistical characterization of $\Delta \bm{u}$ and $\Delta \bm{\omega}$ at varying fiber inertia and elongation



Problem: Dilute suspension of

rigid fibers in turbulent channel



Fibers are modelled as non-deformable prolate ellipsoids evolving in 3D timedependent fully-turbulent flow (e.g. Marchioli et al, 2010)

Assume:

- Fibers smaller than the smallest flow scale
 - > point-wise
 - > Stokes regime
- Dilute flow
 - > no turbulence modulation
 - > no collisions



Flow solver:

Time-dependent 3D turbulent flow

Channel size: $L_{x \times Ly \times Lz = 4\pi h \times 2\pi h \times 2h}$

Pseudo-spectral DNS: Fourier modes (1D FFT) in the homogeneous directions,

Chebyshev coefficients in the wall-normal direction



Modelling approach: Fiber tracking



$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{p,(G)}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{u}_p \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}e_0}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2}(-e_1\Omega_{x'} - e_2\Omega_{y'} - e_3\Omega_{z'}) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}e_1}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2}(e_0\Omega_{x'} - e_3\Omega_{y'} + e_2\Omega_{z'}) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}e_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2}(e_3\Omega_{x'} + e_0\Omega_{y'} - e_1\Omega_{z'}) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}e_3}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2}(-e_2\Omega_{x'} + e_1\Omega_{y'} + e_0\Omega_{z'}) \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}_{p}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{3}{4\lambda Sa^{2}}\mathbf{K} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{@p} - \mathbf{u}_{p})$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_{x'}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \Omega_{y'}\Omega_{z'}\left(1 - \frac{2}{1 + \lambda^{2}}\right) + \frac{20\left[(1 - \lambda^{2})f' + (1 + \lambda^{2})(\xi' - \Omega_{x'})\right]}{(\beta_{0} + \lambda^{2}\gamma_{0})(1 + \lambda^{2})Sa^{2}}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_{y'}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \Omega_{x'}\Omega_{z'}\left(\frac{2}{1 + \lambda^{2}} - 1\right) + \frac{20\left[(\lambda^{2} - 1)g' + (\lambda^{2} + 1)(\eta' - \Omega_{y'})\right]}{(\alpha_{0} + \lambda^{2}\gamma_{0})(1 + \lambda^{2})Sa^{2}}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_{z'}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{20}{(\alpha_{0} + \beta_{0})Sa^{2}}(\chi' - \Omega_{z'})$$



3 frames of reference: Inertial, **X**=[x,y,z] Particle, **X**'=[x',y',z'] Co-moving, **X**"=[x",y",z"]



S

 $f(\lambda)$

Problem: Dilute suspension of

rigid fibers in turbulent channel



Simulation parameters:

• Fluid	Re_{τ}	Fluid	ρ_F	$[kg/m^3]$	$ u \left[m^2/s ight]$	$h\ [cm]$	$u_{\tau} \ [m/s]$	$\overline{u_x} \ [m/s]$
	150	Air		1.3	$1.57 \cdot 10^{-5}$	2.0	0.11775	1.77
	150	Water		1000	$1.00 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.5	0.03000	0.45
. De utilele e	Sat		C [±]	`	C	24+	($(1 \times (1 \times 3))$
• Particles	Set		5 +	٨	3	201	(µm)	(Kg/m ²)
	F1-1		1	1.001	34.72	0.72	96.07	45.14
	F1-3		1	3	18.57	2.16	287.93	24.14
	F1-10		1	10	11.54	7.20	960.09	15.01
	F1-50		1	50	7.54	36.00	4800.01	9.80
	F5-1		5	1.001	173.60	0.72	96.07	225.68
	F5-3		5	3	92.90	2.16	287.93	120.77
	F5-10		5	10	57.70	7.20	960.09	75.01
$t = \frac{2\lambda Sa^2}{9\nu} f(\lambda)$	F5-50		5	50	37.69	36.00	4800.01	49.00
	F30-1		30	1.001	1041.70	0.72	96.07	1354.21
	F30-3		30	3	557.10	2.16	287.93	724.23
	F30-10		30	10	346.30	7.20	960.09	450.19
$=\frac{\ln(\lambda+\sqrt{\lambda^2-1})}{\sqrt{\lambda^2-1}}$	F30-50		30	50	226.15	36.00	4800.01	294.00
	F100-1		100	1.001	3472.33	0.72	96.07	4514.03
	F100-3		100	3	1857.00	2.16	287.93	2414.10
	F100-10)	100	10	1154.33	7.20	960.09	1500.63
	F100-50)	100	50	753.83	36.00	4800.01	979.98



Results: Near-wall fiber

preferential distribution



Top view: fibers accumulate in fluid Low-Speed Streaks









peak values is observed (no PDF shape change)





between St=5 and St=30



rms(∆u')⁺

0.1

0.05

-0.02

-0.06

.=1 .=3 .=10

λ**=50**

***∽** ∽ -0.04 λ=10 λ=50





Results: Spanwise slip **Multiphase Flow** spin - Mean values University of Udine

Laboratory





NTNU Results: Spanwise slip spin -**Multiphase Flow** Laboratory Correlation w wall-normal vel. University of Udine 60 0.4 20 0.2 0.2 Δωγ $\Delta \omega_{\mathbf{v}}$ 0 0 -0.2 -0.2 -0.4 -0.4 -0.5 0.5 -0.4 0.2 -0.6 -0.2 0.4 0.6 0 0 Wp Wp

spanwise slip spin $\Delta \omega_y$ versus fiber wall-normal velocity Wp conditionally sampled at the position of the St=100 fibers in the

region 10 < z+ < 30

Results: Spanwise slip **Multiphase Flow** spin - RMS values

Laboratory

University of Udine









spanwise slip spin $\Delta \omega_y$ versus streamwise slip velocity Δu_x conditionally sampled at the position of the St=30 fibers in the

0

0

 Δu_x

2

2

viscous region 3 < z + < 7.



Concluding remarks



Slip velocity and spin are useful measures of fibers-turbulence Interaction in wall-bounded flows: their statistics provide useful indications for modeling turbulent fiber dispersion

Slip velocity statistics depend both on fiber elongation (quantitatively) and fiber inertia (also qualitatively!)

RMS exceeds the corresponding mean value by roughly 3 to 5 times: the instantaneous slip velocity may thus frequently change sign

Slip spin is significantly influenced by fiber elongation ("more" than the slip velocity) but inertia has a relatively weak effect on it ("less" than the slip velocity)

The two quantities seem correlated only for small inertia (both translational and rotational)

